it so that we don't get caught having to put something together quickly.

2.3

So that's a question for all of us to think about.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl. I think Commissioner Watchman raises a very good point, and we should also specifically inquire, is there a line item that is being proposed for us, because I suspect that there already is.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I believe there is. And I don't know if there's any staff on the call who is prepared to discuss this with us right now. I do believe that there is a sense of what is allocated for us, not just in this fiscal year, but also next year. I don't want to get ahead of -- of where we are.

MS. RAMOS: So this is Yasmin. I am going to have our accounting office, they're going to be checking with OSCB to see if the IRC needs to submit a proposal, a budget for next year.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And this is Commissioner Mehl.

And, Yasmin, as part of that, you'll ask if there is a line item now for us?

MS. RAMOS: That is correct. As of right now I don't believe so, but it looks like we won't know until May. That's what I am told.

COMMISSIONER YORK: This is Commissioner York.

Just for a sense of clarification, we're talking about two different things here: We're talking about a current fiscal 2021 budget; and a proposed fiscal budget for 2022. So that the public understands, what Commissioner Neuberg is trying to say is that she's not aware of any budget currently in fiscal 2021, which ends at the end of June, and then we will make sure that the public understands how much money we have set aside for fiscal '22 going forward at the time we get the data from the Department of Administration, which I believe Yasmin will hopefully have for us in the next week or two.

Is that correct?

2.3

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Madam Chair, I thought I heard -- did you mention 500,000 that we have available?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I believe we have 500,000 available through the end of June.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Okay. Okay.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And, Commissioner York, thanks for that clarification. And just as a point, our budget will be running through the Department of Administration, correct?

It's a question.

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I -- I believe so. Yasmin?

Counsel? I believe, yes.

MS. RAMOS: I didn't catch the question, I 1 2 apologize. 3 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Our budget will be running through the Department of Administration? 4 5 MS. RAMOS: Yes. If that's what you guys are 6 wanting, yes. 7 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Yasmin, this is Commissioner Lerner, is there an alternative to that? I 8 9 thought that was the... 10 MS. RAMOS: No. So say it, yes. I apologize, yes, 11 we will be handling. 12 COMMISSIONER YORK: Okay. Good. 13 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any other further 14 conversation on budget? 15 Okay. 16 VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: No. 17 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: With that, we will move on to Item No. VIII, which is discussion and possible action on 18 19 securing legal counsel and other consultative support. 20 We had a little time to digest the information that 21 ADOA sent to us on law firms that have already been vetted 22 that have contracts with the State. Is there any additional 2.3 conversation? 24 I mean, I -- you know, I presume that our eventual 25 executive director will be an integral part of, you know,

vetting this and -- and guiding us through this process; but as we're thinking through the process, conversation?

2.3

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl. I'm looking through the list, I think it's clear that we should expand and not be limited to those firms that are already under contract with the government. I noted -- I know of firms that I think I could do a very excellent job that are not on that list.

So I think that when we seek legal firms, we should -- we should not limit ourselves to that list.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I would like to take advantage of Counsel and ADOA on that. Yes, we have the authority to hire independently. I'd like to understand what our responsibility is with regard to vetting, you know, legal firms with procurement, ensuring that there's competitive bids; what that means if we were to choose to hire outside of firms that have already been contracted?

I -- I just want to make sure that we collectively understand what that means for us in terms of ensuring that the State requirement -- the State does a lot of services already to ensure, you know, quality and all of that. So I want to understand what would be required of us to ensure that these company -- companies met our procurement codes.

Ethically. Not legally, ethically.

MS. PEISER: This is Pamela Peiser. In terms of

the actual hiring process, I don't know if perhaps John could weigh in here, I know that he probably does more outside counsel research than I do.

2.3

But in terms of the process, the Commission, regardless of how they come about hiring outside counsel or people or services, they still have an obligation to make sure that the money that they're spending on behalf of the State is spent in a good and conscientious manner, meaning that they've reviewed all the possibilities; they've made sure that they're getting kind of the best bang for the buck or most bang for the buck; that the person or firm has the appropriate experience required for the job, and it's not simply a giveaway or a friend-type situation.

In terms of procurement, there is specific processes that are gone through with procurement. Assuming the IRC goes through the procurement process, that process is set up with safeguards already built in so that the money is spent in a responsible and ethical manner. That also includes safeguards in terms of dispute resolution, and if questions come up along the way, everything is already laid out in the procurement code in terms of how that would get approached.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl. I know I would have a personal biased to Arizona firms that are significant firms and well-known, and I don't think

we're -- but not every significant Arizona firm is on that current procurement list, because they don't provide those -- they may not have an expertise in the specific areas that the government has sought legal advices.

Election law is its own peculiar specialty, and there are definitely election law attorneys from many firms that are -- that are not listed in that procurement list.

2.3

So I think we would do ourselves a disservice to limit ourselves to that list; and I think we can very comfortably find firms that we can vet and be -- be confident in, whether it's one firm or two firms going forward.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Well, what would the process be, Commissioner Mehl, for that firm to get on the State-approved list?

Is that -- maybe, again, this is for Counsel or State employees. How cumbersome is that process?

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl. It was my understanding from earlier conversations, that we can -- we can advertise however we like and select however we would like, and they don't need to get on to the government-approved list specifically, we just need to be prudent and good stewards in that selection process.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Right, but what I'm wondering is how difficult would it be for them to get on the list?

I mean, if it's -- you know, obviously, there's a certain level of comfort when -- when the State says they're on our list. How cumbersome is that process, and is there a reason why they wouldn't be able to get on the list?

2.3

I don't know what the process is to get on the list in the first place.

MS. PEISER: This is Pamela Peiser. And I would just point out that you said "if they could get on the list," it's also possible that some firms choose not to be on the list for, you know, the reason that they don't want to deal with the process for whatever reason they may have. But I would say that it probably goes both ways.

MR. FRY: And, Chairwoman Neuberg and Commissioner Mehl, I would just add I agree with everything that's been said. The -- for firms that are on the list that you've been provided, those firms are on that list because they have already gone through a procurement process that complies with the Arizona Procurement Code.

I believe -- my understanding, and I could be wrong, but it's my understanding that this Commission has the authority to hire its own counsel and isn't necessarily bound to that list of firms that haven't already gone through that procurement process.

That said -- and I don't know the finer points, I can't answer your, you know, specific question what does the

process look like, but I believe for agents -- State agencies and entities that have that authority through the own legal counsel, they do need to still go through some form of a procurement process to ensure, you know, open competition, to use a phrase "sweetheart deals," that type of thing.

2.3

So the firms on the list you've been provided have gone through that process, you're not restricted just to that list; but if you want to use a firm not on that list, you probably do need to go through a procurement process.

And it makes me think that was one of the qualifications you're looking for in an executive director, so that will be an excellent point of inquiry as to their experience with procurement processes in Arizona state government.

MS. RAMOS: Chairwoman?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yeah, very well said.

Yasmin, go ahead.

MS. RAMOS: So I'm not sure if you guys actually had a chance to read the ADOA updates that I sent over as well with the meeting materials. There was a line on procurement which said that, you know, for legal services and mapping consultants, that we are going to put an RFP, a request for proposal for these, which I had said it would go out on Friday; and they actually did. I will send you the link to both of them. So those requests to proposals have

gone out for both legal services and mapping people. 1 COMMISSIONER MEHL: And this is Commissioner Mehl. 2 3 Yasmin, I assume that that RFP is open-ended and is not 4 restricted to previous procurement list employers. 5 MS. RAMOS: That is correct, it is open. 6 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: My -- my sense of the 7 conversation, you know, Commissioner Mehl I'm inclined to --8 to agree with you that a wider net is better. I -- I'm open 9 minded, my sense is the Commission is open minded, it's just 10 an extra burden on us to do due diligence with the 11 procurement process, you know, to make sure we're doing 12 right by the State. COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl. 13 14 Evidently we'll have the time to do that. 15 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Hey, the better 16 infrastructure leads to better results. I'm -- you know, 17 I'm -- I'm okay with this. 18 Okay. Is there any other conversation about the 19 legal counsel? 20 I don't believe we need a motion. I don't think 21 there's anything that we're moving on with a business 22 perspective here, but just vetting through what we're 2.3 looking for. 24 COMMISSIONER MEHL: No. 25 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay.

All right. Well, with that, we will move on then to Item No. IX, discussion and possible action on mapping consulting support, even though mapping may feel like a very far -- faraway goal. But any discussion on mapping consultants?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner.

I just want clarification from Yasmin, if that's okay.

So there's an RFP already out for legal counsel and the mapping consultant.

MS. RAMOS: Yes.

2.3

COMMISSIONER LERNER: So at this point we are just -- since they're open ended, we're not going to be moving forward with that other than to take a look at what's been out there. And if some point in the future, however distant, I suppose we need to come up with some guidelines as to what we (technical interruption) as a part of that.

MS. RAMOS: That is correct; and I believe it's going to close on the 26th, so it will open for two weeks.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner Lerner. This is Commissioner Lerner.

Wait, so the mapping consultants and legal services are both -- RFPs are closed on the 26th?

MS. RAMOS: Yes. They're going to be posted for two weeks.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: I guess my question for the

other Commissioners, is there a reason for us to be closing or do we want to maybe extend that for another month or -- or so because we won't have an executive director probably for a month. So it would be my suggestion to extend that and then, again, do a press release for those services as well.

MS. PEISER: This is Pamela Peiser.

2.3

Yasmin, could you clarify whether they meant they close in two weeks or that's the earliest review.

MS. RAMOS: They close. The earliest review would be, you know, the next Commission meeting after the 26th, if that's what the Commission wanted.

So it closes on the 26th, February 26th.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl. I agree with Commissioner Lerner that we should extend that date. I would maybe suggest extending it a few weeks. I don't know, if it's out there a month, I think we will have cleared the field at that point and we can start at least looking at what's come in, but I would extend it 2 weeks beyond.

I will make a motion that we extend the mapping and legal closure date by two weeks, whatever that would end up being.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: And Commissioner Mehl, this is Commissioner Lerner. Could we also add we do a press

1	release on that as well, your motion?
2	COMMISSIONER MEHL: I I lost you there. I'm
3	sorry.
4	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Sorry. I'm suggesting that
5	to add to your motion that a press release announcing that
6	we are seeking people for mapping and legal services also be
7	part of your motion, if that's okay.
8	COMMISSIONER MEHL: I accept that addition.
9	COMMISSIONER LERNER: So what would be the date
10	that we're looking at?
11	MS. RAMOS: March 12th.
12	COMMISSIONER LERNER: That's works.
13	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Madam Chair Commissioner,
14	Vice Chair Watchman offers a second to that motion by
15	Commissioner Mehl.
16	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. With that, we will do
17	a quick vote.
18	Vice Chairman Watchman.
19	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye.
20	THE COURT: Commissioner Mehl.
21	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye.
22	THE COURT: Commissioner Lerner.
23	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye.
24	THE COURT: Commissioner York.
25	COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Neuberg is an aye; and with that, the motion passes.

2.3

We will keep open the RFPs with mapping consultant and legal until March 12th.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: And do a press release.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And -- and absolutely do a press release. Thank you.

With that, we'll move on to Item No. X, which is discussion on ADOA resources and updates.

There's quite a bit of activity as it relates to this. Yasmin, I don't know who on tap with ADOA is here to give us these updates.

MS. RAMOS: So that would be me, and most of the items we actually touched on.

The first one would be IT services. We're working on the website, it looks like the -- the first draft of the website would be -- was available on the 12th. I sent the link as well. I'm not sure if you guys had a chance to review or not, but once that is finalized we hope that will be ready next week. The IRC.AZ.gov website.

Also the laptops for all of you should be ready by Wednesday, February 27th. So if you could reach out to me to let me know when you would be available to pick up your laptops.

E-mails are also ready to go. The format of the

e-mail will be "first name.last name@IRC.AZ.gov," and log in instructions will be following by name.

2.3

Cell phones as well. I would like to know if everybody would like cell phones. If you can e-mail me if you would like cell phones, and I have the cost there for it. I'm not sure if anybody would be needing mobile hotspots.

And human resources, we already talked about that we posted both of the executive director job opening and the executive assistant on February 11th; it looks like we're now going to be closing on the 26th instead of the 25th.

And for procurement, we just touched on that, that both legal services and mapping consultants, there has been issued RFPs for both of those.

For finance, I sent you guys the estimate annual budget that ADOA put together along with the past budget.

And for facilities, we're just waiting for the automobile staff authorities to move out. Looks like they were in the space that the IRC will be taking over; and once we know an exact date of that, we will apply it.

And those are all the updates that I have, Madam Chairwoman.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yasmin, thank you so much.

ADOA has been -- been amazingly helpful.

Regarding the website -- and I was remiss on this

as well, I just noticed myself yesterday -- all Commissioners should please try to get a bio and a photo of ourselves to Yasmin so we can put that up on the website to get that live.

2.3

In terms of the e-mails, Yasmin, is that -- they're ready to go, and so it's now up to us to log on and set it up, is that what you said?

MS. RAMOS: I will be actually sending instructions on how to log in.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. That will be very helpful.

Any other questions on ADOA updates resources?

COMMISSIONER LERNER: This is Commissioner Lerner,
first of all, thank you very much, Yasmin, and the DOA for
all the work to help us get going.

I do have a question about the old website and will information from the old website be migrated over to the new one, or are we going to -- is it going to stay separate from previous years? What happens to the old one?

MS. RAMOS: I believe we're a going to try to put as much information from the old website into the new website, but I believe we're going to be keeping both just so we don't miss anything either. I'll confirm that, through, I don't want to -- but I believe that's what we'll be doing.

1 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Okay. Thank you. 2 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Commissioner Lerner, our goal 3 is to have it archived within our domain here, within the 4 Arizona Government domain. If we can't bring all of it, we 5 will absolutely provide a link for all of the archived 6 information so that it's all provided in one spot. To the 7 best of our ability. 8 COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you. 9 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any other ADOA updates? 10 MS. RAMOS: That is it on my end, Chairwoman 11 Neuberg. 12 CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Thank you. 13 With that, we'll move on to Agenda Item No. XI, 14 which is update on the status of the Howard Open Meeting Law complaint provided by our Attorney General counsel. 15 16 And just as a reminder, this was a complaint filed 17 for our first two meetings questioning going into executive session for the purpose of picking the independent chair. 18 19 MS. PEISER: Thank you. Pam Peiser speaking.

County Attorney's Office.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. Thank you, Pam.

Any other conversation from Commissioners?

With that, we'll -- we'll move on to Agenda Item

I am still waiting to hear back from the County -- Maricopa

At this time, I do not have any update to provide.

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

No. XII, which is discussion and possible action on the scheduling of upcoming meetings.

2.3

As we -- we think about this, next week may be a little more relevant as we kind of think through what we can do and what we can't do as it relates to our work prior to the census data; but I know that maybe summer calendaring is on everybody's mind. Some of us like to either get away or have some things in mind to travel. And so, you know, as we come together next week to talk about maybe fleshing out what our calendar might be, we should also, the five of us, think through are there weeks that, you know, some of you might be going away, would like to go away; if the five of us can coordinate and block off similar times, that's helpful.

But -- but I think that's something that, you know, particularly with the census delay is appropriate for us to be thinking about with our overall schedule.

So please come next week thinking about what your ensuing few months might look like and what may be priority dates might be for you to block off.

Any other discussion on that item?

COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner Neuberg, this is Commissioner York. And perhaps maybe that discussion is also carried on in executive session. I'm not sure the public needs to know all our personal lives or our

schedules. So, nothing against sharing, I just think that's important.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. So excellent point.

Yasmin, let's just make a note that as we discuss our action on scheduling of upcoming meetings, that we may go into executive session to discuss personal items that are relevant to this.

MS. PEISER: Commissioners, I -- this is Pamela
Peiser. I just wanted to break in and let you know, that
there's not a basis that I'm aware of in open meeting law
that would allow for that.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Commissioner -- Ms. Peiser, what we're discussing is our scheduling of future meetings, but we'd like to have that dialogue in a fashion that maybe doesn't -- that we can share different dates that we don't have available, and I'm not sure the public needs to be aware of all that.

It's Commissioner York.

MS. PEISER: I definitely understand what you're saying but, unfortunately, you know, I just need to advise you of what the -- the open meeting law says, you know, because -- executive session requires certain constraints. In terms of scheduling, though, if there's a legal question regarding scheduling of meetings, that can certainly be addressed in executive session.

But if there's any specifics that, you know, individual Commissioners feel like they need to give or want to give, that could be provided by e-mail or even personally to Yasmin; or, if there is a person on board by the time this conversation happens, it could also be held with them.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And -- and I think it's also, you know, we can discuss dates that we're available; we're certainly not obligated to share why there might be conflicts. I mean, you know, the five of us have -- have multiple hats that we wear and -- and, you know, other priorities, and our availability is, you know, nobody else's business.

COMMISSIONER YORK: Right.

2.3

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. So with that, Item No. XII, a sub item is set standard meeting date and time.

We've generally been doing Tuesdays. I know next week again was an aberrant time, I believe we're scheduled for 2:00 p.m.

Yasmin, can you please confirm next week the 23rd?
MS. RAMOS: That is correct.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. And then after that, if we want to look forward, we have generally been aiming for 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday mornings. That works for me unless there are conflicts that any other Commissioners would like to bring up.

I would suggest that we keep this time frame, we're -- we're going to have some interviews coming up that will likely go a little longer than we normally, you know, meet for, but provided that Tuesdays are good, let's aim for ongoing meetings Tuesday at 9 o'clock.

2.3

VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Sounds good. I'm good with it.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. With that, we'll move on to Agenda Item XIII, which is discussion of future agenda item requests.

I suggest, Yasmin, like we talked about earlier, let's put the census delay item on agenda, not just for next week, but actually as a recurring item, because it may well be a dominant theme as we move forward.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl.

Chairwoman Neuberg, would it make sense for one of us -- and hopefully not me -- to draft some questions that can then be circulated before the meeting just so we have something to start with in our discussion of questions to ask for the executive director?

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: That -- that's an excellent question and -- and if the other Commissioners would like to, I'd be willing to synthesize that information if you would, you know -- I'm happy to kind of do a deep dive in the job description and looking through, really, what the

essence of the job is, crafting some -- some early questions.

2.3

I'm a huge believer in diversity of thinking and, really, don't want to be the sole driver of that. I suggest maybe all of us submit potential questions to Yasmin, and when we go into the meeting next week, we could have a list of -- of kind of predetermined idea of what may be great suggestions, and we can vote from there.

COMMISSIONER MEHL: This is Commissioner Mehl again.

I think that would be great, and would appreciate anybody sending things in; and if you will take a stab at it, that will be excellent.

COMMISSIONER LERNER: Commissioner Lerner. I agree. Thank you.

I presume that most of what we discuss today ought to be on the agenda again next week as it relates to the hiring of the executive director and other consultative positions, legal, mapping; ongoing conversations about public comment; ongoing conversation about the Howard complaint; ongoing conversation about budget, and I think that is -- it is the essence of what we're tasked with.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Any other agenda items?

Any other agenda item suggestions?

COMMISSIONER YORK: In regards to --

Commissioner York.

2.3

In regards to possibly in-person interviews, is that a situation for finalists that we would consider? I personally would like that.

For the executive director position, sorry.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Let -- let's put that on the agenda next week, Commissioner York. Let's -- as we think through the process of -- of hiring, do we want to have in-person interviews amongst, you know, the finalists.

It's a good question.

COMMISSIONER YORK: We need to close comments, I think.

CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Yes. We're on Item No. XIV, announcements.

Our next meeting date will be next Tuesday, the 23rd at 2:00 p.m.

And with that we are going to come to Item No. XV, which is closing of the public comments.

It is now 9:19 a.m. Public comment is now closed. Please note, members of the Commission may not discuss items that are not specifically identified on the agenda. Therefore, pursuant to A.R.S. 38-431, action taken as a result of public comment will be limited to directing staff to study the manner, responding to any criticism, or scheduling the matter for further consideration and decision

1	at a later date.
2	And with that, unless there is any other items to
3	bring up, that brings us to Item No. XVI with which is
4	adjournment.
5	Do I have a motion to adjourn?
6	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Vice Chair motions to
7	adjourn.
8	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Do I have a second?
9	COMMISSIONER YORK: I'll second.
10	Commissioner York.
11	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Okay. With that,
12	Vice Chairman Watchman.
13	VICE CHAIR WATCHMAN: Aye.
14	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Mehl.
15	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Aye.
16	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Lerner.
17	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Aye.
18	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: York.
19	COMMISSIONER YORK: Aye.
20	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: And Neuberg is an aye.
21	With that, we will adjourn, and I look forward to
22	seeing the public and my colleagues next week at 2:00 p.m.
23	Have a great week, everybody.
24	COMMISSIONER MEHL: Thank you all.
25	COMMISSIONER LERNER: Thank you.

1	CHAIRPERSON NEUBERG: Bye-bye.
2	(Whereupon the proceeding concludes at 9:22 a.m.)
3	
4	* * * *
5	
6	$\underline{\mathtt{C}} \ \underline{\mathtt{E}} \ \underline{\mathtt{R}} \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \underline{\mathtt{F}} \ \underline{\mathtt{I}} \ \underline{\mathtt{C}} \ \underline{\mathtt{A}} \ \underline{\mathtt{T}} \ \underline{\mathtt{E}}$
7	
8	STATE OF ARIZONA)
9) ss.
10	COUNTY OF MARICOPA)
11	
12	BE IT KNOWN that the foregoing proceedings were taken before me, Angela Furniss Miller, Certified Reporter
13	No. 50127, all done to the best of my skill and ability; that the proceedings were taken down by me in shorthand and thereafter reduced to print under my direction.
14	I CERTIFY that I am in no way related to any of the
15 16	parties hereto nor am I in any way interested in the outcome thereof.
17	I FURTHER CERTIFY that I have complied with the
18	requirements set forth in ACJA 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 1st day of March, 2021
19	And All
20	Angela Furniss Miller, RPR, CR CERTIFIED REPORTER (AZ50127)
21	* * * *
22	
23	I CERTIFY that Miller Certified Reporting, LLC, has complied with the requirements set forth in ACJA 7-201 and 7-206. Dated at LITCHFIELD PARK, Arizona, this 1st day of
24	March, 2021. March, 2021. \mathcal{M}
25	Miller Certified Reporting, LLC Arizona RRF No. R1058